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Metaphors in Language

Metaphors arise when one concept is viewed in terms of the properties of the other. (Shutova & Teufel, 2010)

1. How can I kill a process?
2. "My heart with pleasure fills, and dances with the daffodils."
3. He attacked every weak point in my argument.
4. The ambassador will start mending foreign policy.

They can help explain a concept better, make the reader visualise a concept, and add richness and colour to communication.
Metaphor Detection

Helps NLP systems understand the real meaning behind the words. Allows generation systems to generate rich and nuanced natural text.

- Semantic roles (Gedigian et al., 2006)
- Concreteness (Turney et al., 2011)
- Imageability (Strzalkowski et al., 2013)
- WordNet supersenses (Tsvetkov et al., 2014)
- Sparse distributional features (Shutova et al., 2010)
- Dense neural word embeddings (Bracewell et al., 2014)
- Visual vectors (Shutova et al., 2016)
- Attribute-based vectors (Bulat et al., 2017)

We propose a neural architecture that is specially designed for metaphor detection.
Shutova et al. (2016) showed that the cosine similarity between neural embeddings of the two words in a phrase is indicative of its metaphoricity.

\[ s = \cos(x_c, x_p) \]

For example

\[ \cos(\text{colourful, personality}) \]

would have lower similarity than

\[ \cos(\text{nice, personality}) \]
Cosine Similarity

If the input vectors $x_1$ and $x_2$ are normalised to unit length, the cosine similarity between them is equal to their dot product:

$$\cos(x_1, x_2) \propto \sum_i x_{1,i} x_{2,i}$$

We can formulate that as a small neural network:
We can instead create a version where vector $m$ is passed through another layer, with weights that are optimised during training.

$$m_i = z_{1,i} z_{2,i}$$

$$d = \gamma(W_d m)$$

**Weighted Cosine**

![Diagram of the model with two layers and a matrix of trainable weights.](image)
Example: “healthy balance”

The source domain properties of the adjective "healthy" are projected onto the target domain noun “balance”, resulting in the interaction of the two domains in the interpretation of the metaphor.

\[ g = \sigma(W_g x_1) \]

\[ \tilde{x}_2 = x_2 \odot g \]

Gating the noun vector, based on the adjective vector.
The original method uses basic pre-trained skip-gram vectors. Let’s add a transformation that maps them into a space that is specific for metaphor detection. Importantly, we will use separate mappings for adjectives and nouns.

\[
z_1 = \tanh(W_{z_1} x_1)
\]

\[
z_2 = \tanh(W_{z_2} \tilde{x}_2)
\]

The weight matrices are optimised during training, while the pre-trained embeddings are kept fixed.
Supervised Similarity Network

The final network architecture, using:
1. Word gating
2. Representation mapping
3. Vector combination based on weighted cosine
Optimisation

Output between 0 and 1 with sigmoid activation

\[ y = \sigma(W_y d) \]

Minimising squared distance with margin 0.1

\[ E = \sum_k q_k \]

\[ q_k = \begin{cases} 
(\bar{y} - y)^2 & \text{if } |\bar{y} - y| > 0.4 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]
Word Representations

Skip-gram embeddings
Skip-gram with negative sampling (Mikolov et al., 2013).
100-dimensional
Trained on English Wikipedia for 3 epochs.
Easy to obtain and generate.

Attribute vectors
Each dimension is a property for the word (Bulat et al., 2017).
2526-dimensional
Trained on McRae norms, predicted for missing words.
Give the best results in previous work.
### Datasets

**TSV - Tsvetkov et al. (2014)**
Adjective–noun pairs annotated for metaphoricity by 5 annotators.

1768 pairs for training, 200 for testing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metaphorical</th>
<th>Literal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>deep understanding</td>
<td>cold weather</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>empty promise</td>
<td>dry skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>green energy</td>
<td>empty can</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>healthy balance</td>
<td>gold coin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MOH - Mohammad et al. (2016)**
Verb-subject and verb-object pairs annotated for metaphoricity by 10 annotators.

647 pairs, using 10-fold cross-validation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metaphorical</th>
<th>Literal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>absorb cost</td>
<td>accommodate guest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attack problem</td>
<td>attack village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>breathe life</td>
<td>deflate mattress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>design excuse</td>
<td>digest milk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Results: Tsvetkov dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tsvetkov et al. (2014)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shutova et al. (2016) linguistic</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shutova et al. (2016) multimodal</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulat et al. (2017)</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFN skip-gram</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFN attribute</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN skip-gram</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN attribute</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN fusion</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>F1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shutova et al. (2016) linguistic</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shutova et al. (2016) multimodal</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFN skip-gram</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFN attribute</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN skip-gram</td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN attribute</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN fusion</td>
<td>69.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Effects of Training Data

Adding in 8,592 adjective-noun pairs by Gutierrez et al. (2016)
We introduced the first deep learning architecture designed to capture metaphorical composition and evaluated it on a metaphor identification task.

The network outperforms a metaphor-agnostic baseline and previous corpus-driven approaches.

Using more training data we can also outperform hand-crafted approaches.

The framework could potentially be useful for other word pair classification tasks.
Thank you!